



Evaluation of Lemongrass (*Cymbopogon flexuosus* L.) Genotypes under Northern Dry Zone of Karnataka (Zone III)

**Lakshmi Kotihal ^{a++*}, Mohammed Farooq ^{a#},
Vijayakumar B. Narayanapur ^{a†},
Sayeed Almas Rajesaheb Mulla ^{b‡}
and Ramanagouda ^{c‡}**

^a Department of Plantation, Spices, Medicinal and Aromatic Crops, College of Horticulture, University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot-587104, Karnataka, India.

^b Department of Soil science and Agricultural chemistry, College of Horticulture, University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot-587104, Karnataka, India.

^c Department of Entomology, College of Horticulture, University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot-587104, Karnataka, India.

Authors' contributions

This work was carried out in collaboration among all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Article Information

DOI: <https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i111602>

Open Peer Review History:

This journal follows the Advanced Open Peer Review policy. Identity of the Reviewers, Editor(s) and additional Reviewers, peer review comments, different versions of the manuscript, comments of the editors, etc are available here: <https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/125774>

Original Research Article

Received: 26/08/2024

Accepted: 28/10/2024

Published: 02/11/2024

⁺⁺ Msc Scholar;

[#] Professor and Head;

[†] Associate Professor;

[‡] Assistant Professor;

*Corresponding author: E-mail: lakshmikotihal@gmail.com;

Cite as: Kotihal, Lakshmi, Mohammed Farooq, Vijayakumar B. Narayanapur, Sayeed Almas Rajesaheb Mulla, and Ramanagouda. 2024. "Evaluation of Lemongrass (*Cymbopogon Flexuosus* L.) Genotypes under Northern Dry Zone of Karnataka (Zone III)". *Journal of Advances in Biology & Biotechnology* 27 (11):166-77. <https://doi.org/10.9734/jabb/2024/v27i111602>.

ABSTRACT

Aim: To evaluate the lemongrass genotypes for growth, yield and quality contributing characters.

Study Design: Fisher's method of ANOVA by Panse and Sukhatme.

Place and Duration of Study: Department of Plantation, Spices, Medicinal and Aromatic Crops, College of Horticulture, Bagalkot, Karnataka during *rabi* 2023-24.

Methodology: Forty genotypes of lemongrass were assessed for growth, yield and quality parameters.

Results: Among forty genotypes, Elite genotype recorded the maximum plant height and petiole length. Whereas, the highest plant spread, number of tillers, leaf length, leaf width and biomass yield per clump, per plot and hectare was found maximum in CKP25 and OD-83 for girth of the tiller at different growth stages.

Conclusion: The genotypes superior for growth, yield and quality parameters will be considered for future breeding program.

Keywords: lemongrass; *Cymbopogon flexuosus*; genotype; tiller; clump.

1. INTRODUCTION

Lemongrass (*Cymbopogon flexuosus* L.) is a tropical perennial grass from the Poaceae family and the Cymbopogon genus, which is known for its aromatic essential oil. The genus includes about 80 different species. The name "lemongrass" comes from the lemon-like scent of its essential oil. Native to Southeast Asia and Australia, lemongrass was one of the herbs traded along the spice route to Europe. In the global trade market, lemongrass oil is commonly called "Cochin oil" because 90 percent of the oil is shipped from Cochin port (Kumar et al. 2023).

Kerala held a monopoly on the production and export of lemongrass oil. Worldwide, lemongrass oil production is approximately 1000 tonnes annually, cultivated across 16000 hectares of land. In India, it occupies about 4000 hectares, yielding around 300-350 tonnes yearly. Lemongrass cultivation is widespread in underutilized and marginal lands, often used as live mulch along embankments and aids in soil and water conservation because of its extensive root system (Skaria et al. 2018).

Lemongrass constitutes hundreds of organic compounds including terpenoids, benzenoids, organic sulphur and nitrogenous compounds, which work at different levels. Monoterpenes (96.37%) constitutes the major part of lemongrass followed by diterpenes (0.21%) and sesquiterpenes (1.25%). Citral is the major bioactive compound in lemongrass essential oil, which is the combination of neral (34.29%) and geranial (40.29%). The quality of lemongrass essential oil is determined by the amount of citral it contains. The diterpenes include phytol and

sesquiterpenes which includes geranyl acetate (0.63%), trans-caryophellene (0.11%), caryophellene oxide (0.21%) and others (Bhatnagar 2020).

Lemongrass is an aromatic grass that originates from a compact, rhizomatous base, producing multiple tillers. It possesses linear leaf blades, tapering at both ends and can reach a length of up to 50 cm and a width of 1.5 cm. Serving as a pseudo stem, the tubular leaf sheath encases the leaves. Upon reaching maturity, lemongrass develops flowers. Additionally, new tillers emerge from the clump, growing vertically to form new plants or clumps (Kumar et al. 2023).

It is grown more especially in Indian states such as Kerala, Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh, Uttar Pradesh and Assam. Citral gives an enticing citrus fragrance and possesses biological activities like anti-inflammatory, antibacterial, antiparasitic, allelopathic and mosquito-repelling effects (Sharma et al. 2021).

In India, several lemongrass species are grown which includes *C. flexuosus*, *C. pendulus*, *C. khasianus*, *C. nardus* and *C. commulatus*. The genus Cymbopogon has citral-rich species such as *C. citratus*, *C. flexuosus*, *C. pendulus* and *C. khasianus*. Geraniol and elemicin-rich oil from *C. nardus*, *C. commulatus* and certain *C. khasianus* is widely utilized to make mosquito repellent products, soaps, vitamin A synthase etc. (Haque et al. 2018). Among these, three important commercial species are widely seen in India viz., *Cymbopogon flexuosus* (Nees ex Steud) Wats. (2n= 20, 40), *Cymbopogon citratus* (DC) Stapf. (2n= 40,60) and *Cymbopogon pendulus* (Nees ex Steud) Wats.

The evaluation of plant with traits like plant height, tiller numbers, leaf area, herbage yield, oil yield and citral content of the cultivar for the development of high yielding cultivars suitable for respective region place an important role for profitable cultivation of lemongrass. These investigations were taken up with an objective to evaluate the lemongrass genotypes for growth and yield contributing characters and to assess the yield and recovery of essential oil in lemongrass genotypes.

2. MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present experiment was conducted during the period of *rabi* 2023 to 2024 at the experimental field of Department of Plantation, Spice, Medicinal and Aromatic crops, College of Horticulture, University of Horticultural Sciences, Bagalkot, Karnataka. During the trial period (2023-24) meteorological data revealed the mean maximum and minimum temperature as 40.90°C and 17.25°C respectively. The mean maximum and minimum relative humidity was 96.90 per cent and 66.61 per cent, respectively during morning. The mean maximum and minimum relative humidity was 62.23 per cent and 20.03 per cent, respectively during afternoon. The experimental site was covered with red soil having alkaline pH.

Forty genotypes of lemongrass were grown in randomized complete block design with two replications at a spacing of 60 x 45 cm. The 40 genotypes viz, Kaveri, Pragati, Praman, CIM Chirharit, Local HUB-LG-1, CKP25, Krishna, Elite, OD-2, OD-13, OD-14, OD-19 (Sugandhi), OD-23, OD-24, OD-63, OD-80, OD-82, OD-83, OD-88, OD-93, OD-101, OD-106, OD-107, OD-110, OD-111, OD-120, OD-121, OD-123, OD-126, OD-130, OD-131, OD-132, OD-135, OD-144, OD-150, OD-152, OD-157, OD-158, OD-166 and OD-173 were evaluated for growth, yield and quality parameters.

All genotypes have been subjected to the same cultural practices mentioned in package of practices of College of Horticulture, Bagalkot.

Observations were recorded and data were analyzed to identify the superior genotype with the growth parameters, *i.e.*, plant height (cm), clump spread (cm), number of tillers, girth of the tiller (mm), leaf length, width and petiole length (cm), yield parameters include fresh and shade dried biomass yield per clump (kg), per plot and hectare after 120 days after planting (single cut)

and quality parameters include the essential oil content (%) and oil yield (kg/ha) determined based on fresh herb.

Statistical analysis of the data was done by following the Fisher's method of analysis of variance as given by Panse and Sukhatme (1967). The level of significance used in 'F' and 't' test was P= 0.05 and critical difference (CD) values were worked out wherever 'F' test was significant.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Growth Parameters

A significant difference was recorded for plant height at different crop growth stages. Among 40 genotypes of lemongrass the plant height was maximum in Elite (90.45 cm, 106.62 cm and 118.41 cm at 60, 90 and 120 DAP). The variation in the plant height is due to the genetic composition of the genotype and interaction with suitable agroclimatic and soil conditions. The variation in plant height in lemongrass genotypes was also observed by Yogendra et al. (2022) in which significantly higher plant height was noticed in CIM-Shikar (136.75cm). Mwithiga et al. (2022) and Susilowati and Syukur (2022) reported that, CICI 0003 was the tallest plant (84.42 cm) among 20 lemongrass accessions.

The highest clump spread in (E-W) direction and in (N-S) direction was observed in CKP25 (89.47 cm, 100.59 cm, 112.92 cm and 63.91 cm, 69.40 cm, 80.77 cm at 60, 90 and 120 DAP respectively). These variations were attributed to the genetic makeup of the genotypes and their interaction with the environmental variation. There are several reports indicating variation in clump spread among the genotypes under different agro-climatic conditions include Susilowati and Syukur (2022) and Hiremath et al. (2018) in citronella.

The significantly higher number of tillers was recorded in CKP25 (27, 39.03 and 53.20 at 60, 90 and 120 DAP). The difference in the number of tillers was mainly due to dissimilarity in the inherited characters of the genotype as well as its interaction with the environment in which it is cultivated, influencing the number of tillers, depicted in Table 1. The present observations on the lemongrass are in consonance with the Kumar et al. (2022) in palmarosa, Sarma and Sarma et al. (2005) in lemongrass and Ibrahim and Khalidh (2013) in citronella.

Table 1. Growth parameters of lemongrass genotypes at different growth stages

Genotypes	Plant height			Clump spread (E-W)			Clump spread (N-S)			Number of tillers		
	60 DAP	90 DAP	120 DAP	60 DAP	90 DAP	120 DAP	60 DAP	90 DAP	120 DAP	60 DAP	90 DAP	120 DAP
Kaveri	75.37	95.88	107.03	55.39	68.57	82.78	46.06	52.19	66.40	12.90	21.98	32.99
Pragati	65.78	85.35	92.03	58.51	71.19	81.70	56.62	62.55	68.26	13.59	23.15	34.01
Praman	62.00	81.36	89.99	53.05	64.67	78.68	45.10	51.58	58.73	11.43	28.46	40.70
CIM Chirharit	73.20	89.21	99.88	70.59	82.13	96.13	56.47	66.26	73.94	20.73	33.00	49.66
Local HUB-LG-1	68.08	81.83	90.26	56.07	69.25	78.10	53.18	59.34	69.95	13.28	24.27	31.98
CKP25	69.84	85.89	96.91	89.47	100.59	112.92	63.91	69.40	80.77	27.00	39.03	53.20
Krishna	85.16	100.33	114.03	84.13	99.93	106.72	60.04	71.40	78.28	23.44	36.31	52.22
Elite	90.45	106.62	118.41	66.02	85.49	99.43	58.13	65.65	72.44	17.13	26.73	39.04
OD-2	54.62	71.78	85.25	58.46	72.28	86.99	36.61	47.83	54.00	13.49	22.35	33.66
OD-13	67.41	81.18	93.03	44.00	55.25	68.04	35.99	48.20	51.97	15.44	24.58	36.03
OD-14	72.13	83.80	92.63	74.25	85.57	98.54	45.28	55.80	64.91	14.11	25.26	36.73
OD-19	90.55	103.83	115.75	87.72	95.14	104.41	40.38	51.71	62.79	23.10	32.74	47.40
OD-23	59.58	75.69	89.52	65.19	70.87	82.30	47.88	55.39	64.49	19.18	25.93	34.47
OD-24	68.21	84.34	95.68	63.62	77.99	90.92	56.01	68.64	71.99	17.26	25.97	43.25
OD-63	45.65	59.28	72.04	46.66	65.30	77.93	35.89	44.11	50.06	13.62	22.29	33.16
OD-80	80.63	91.20	106.83	53.23	68.26	79.82	43.99	53.42	62.77	16.49	30.13	35.32
OD-82	57.33	72.31	84.47	51.91	67.67	81.98	48.61	60.98	65.27	13.64	22.97	34.46
OD-83	80.32	96.53	109.58	63.58	75.29	88.59	40.39	51.39	59.95	18.49	29.23	43.49
OD-88	69.75	87.46	100.15	45.52	54.13	66.36	35.97	47.58	55.42	11.46	19.57	30.09
OD-93	90.52	103.79	113.46	75.71	89.12	104.13	59.33	68.36	78.46	18.16	28.62	40.86
OD-101	52.38	65.21	78.42	54.08	72.85	87.83	39.23	44.05	53.05	13.79	23.37	36.20
OD-106	48.19	60.89	78.71	41.92	55.44	70.94	33.67	43.50	49.83	10.43	22.05	32.17
OD-107	37.69	50.43	62.46	42.07	55.78	70.15	55.79	68.40	77.53	11.30	22.23	35.28
OD-110	59.66	74.32	83.90	51.56	65.66	77.86	50.34	54.71	59.86	16.90	26.44	36.90
OD-111	63.68	78.97	87.95	45.62	58.27	71.06	28.98	40.79	47.58	13.48	23.79	35.16
OD-120	57.88	72.14	85.13	53.64	73.76	84.99	45.13	54.89	58.84	15.16	21.50	33.50
OD-121	62.81	75.83	92.91	52.53	65.77	80.90	33.26	44.83	53.97	21.97	31.36	45.18
OD-123	70.87	87.45	98.48	65.23	77.76	90.19	43.20	51.22	54.84	22.15	28.42	40.97
OD-126	51.59	64.47	80.87	45.23	78.19	91.87	46.04	59.87	64.12	14.88	21.98	30.01
OD-130	72.12	86.45	97.60	62.25	78.31	89.74	41.85	50.89	59.00	22.18	24.67	44.95
OD-131	61.04	72.77	87.13	54.87	72.16	85.35	43.52	53.30	62.87	20.22	29.28	40.36
OD-132	51.72	71.70	85.58	41.93	55.53	69.36	32.48	39.60	42.88	14.46	23.67	34.65
OD-135	41.46	58.92	70.83	40.52	65.27	76.76	32.92	42.17	52.92	17.83	26.08	36.26
OD-144	76.32	92.73	106.15	58.69	77.45	85.29	38.72	44.30	54.07	17.16	25.85	42.75
OD-150	59.46	72.88	86.56	49.39	65.94	79.74	37.60	44.94	53.79	16.94	26.15	37.36

Genotypes	Plant height			Clump spread (E-W)			Clump spread (N-S)			Number of tillers		
	60 DAP	90 DAP	120 DAP	60 DAP	90 DAP	120 DAP	60 DAP	90 DAP	120 DAP	60 DAP	90 DAP	120 DAP
OD-152	51.61	64.09	78.62	42.71	56.70	69.91	35.85	40.89	53.56	20.36	32.60	39.16
OD-157	80.85	98.58	114.29	59.41	68.88	86.13	37.97	45.59	54.94	18.78	28.61	39.74
OD-158	59.44	71.32	87.00	54.17	76.80	85.35	43.67	48.93	57.88	17.62	26.51	37.08
OD-166	65.63	80.92	89.59	54.15	69.83	84.22	48.73	56.21	64.14	17.46	24.29	34.87
OD-173	44.12	57.49	72.27	50.31	63.40	76.18	43.12	56.02	64.91	12.59	24.00	35.69
S. Em±	0.69	0.93	1.15	0.59	0.73	1.03	0.65	0.60	0.85	0.21	0.71	1.03
CD (5 %)	1.96	2.66	3.28	1.68	2.09	2.94	1.87	1.72	2.42	0.60	2.03	2.95

Table 2. Growth parameters of lemongrass genotypes at different growth stages

Genotypes	Girth of tiller (mm)			Leaf length (cm)			Leaf width (cm)			Petiole length (cm)		
	60 DAP	90 DAP	120 DAP	60 DAP	90 DAP	120 DAP	60 DAP	90 DAP	120 DAP	60 DAP	90 DAP	120 DAP
Kaveri	3.01	5.05	5.68	35.31	48.76	60.54	2.53	2.75	2.80	1.99	3.55	4.12
Pragati	3.23	5.20	5.84	49.90	62.43	73.92	2.49	2.64	2.72	2.54	3.21	3.85
Praman	3.17	5.16	5.74	37.41	49.19	66.76	2.18	2.25	2.56	2.77	3.57	4.29
CIM Chirharit	3.04	5.07	6.68	68.98	79.94	88.27	2.06	2.41	2.59	3.00	3.67	4.09
Local HUB-LG-1	3.04	5.06	6.55	46.22	56.91	70.28	2.22	2.65	2.69	2.86	3.20	3.87
CKP25	3.41	5.54	6.86	72.70	86.38	92.78	2.54	2.82	2.94	3.02	3.45	4.02
Krishna	3.61	5.13	6.75	54.12	64.38	74.58	2.43	2.73	2.75	3.14	4.24	4.89
Elite	3.01	5.51	6.68	53.80	68.93	81.15	2.26	2.32	2.37	3.89	4.75	5.39
OD-2	3.12	5.99	5.99	34.77	45.34	58.65	2.03	2.54	2.62	2.08	3.10	3.88
OD-13	3.01	5.80	5.62	48.76	60.73	72.91	2.35	2.46	2.54	3.57	3.77	4.29
OD-14	3.28	4.97	5.36	52.71	64.31	73.01	2.04	2.72	2.78	3.05	3.95	4.33
OD-19	3.03	5.15	6.52	75.87	81.01	92.62	2.26	2.72	2.77	3.57	4.86	4.96
OD-23	3.30	5.16	6.23	46.55	55.58	71.14	2.10	2.69	2.75	2.53	3.17	4.84
OD-24	3.01	6.07	6.56	52.24	67.82	78.61	2.39	2.46	2.61	2.55	3.54	3.92
OD-63	3.15	5.63	6.44	31.76	45.70	54.08	2.21	2.53	2.61	2.56	3.83	4.12
OD-80	3.26	5.13	6.48	52.27	66.69	79.85	1.59	2.03	2.47	3.23	4.01	4.65
OD-82	3.04	4.76	5.75	43.39	57.13	71.42	2.21	2.28	2.35	2.88	3.86	4.33
OD-83	3.05	6.19	7.06	49.11	53.69	61.18	2.10	2.68	2.71	2.43	3.26	3.53
OD-88	3.05	5.33	5.93	42.47	55.68	70.07	2.22	2.43	2.53	2.33	3.00	4.01
OD-93	3.05	5.56	5.50	70.64	82.50	90.32	2.27	2.45	2.52	3.03	3.67	4.24
OD-101	3.30	5.23	6.20	36.38	47.63	62.67	2.02	2.28	2.39	3.24	3.66	4.64
OD-106	3.25	5.17	5.94	35.64	50.27	64.30	1.98	2.45	2.65	2.37	3.47	3.77
OD-107	3.28	5.48	5.23	29.21	41.22	52.77	2.39	2.44	2.52	3.00	3.68	3.98
OD-110	3.10	5.34	5.59	40.45	49.11	63.92	2.34	2.45	2.58	2.77	3.22	4.27
OD-111	3.04	5.02	5.58	45.25	58.80	69.32	2.30	2.33	2.49	2.79	3.47	4.29
OD-120	3.29	5.07	6.24	39.40	51.54	66.35	1.85	2.15	2.49	1.90	2.57	3.23
OD-121	3.13	6.17	6.49	38.43	53.61	66.96	2.45	2.48	2.62	1.98	2.88	3.68
OD-123	3.18	6.00	6.15	45.13	59.45	70.15	2.06	2.49	2.56	1.87	2.70	3.70
OD-126	3.00	5.07	5.25	42.74	56.55	69.33	2.32	2.41	2.51	2.03	2.67	4.21
OD-130	3.22	5.28	6.45	55.78	64.42	79.54	2.37	2.49	2.60	3.04	3.86	4.22
OD-131	3.38	5.97	6.13	59.98	70.62	84.04	2.27	2.45	2.59	2.40	3.77	4.04
OD-132	3.01	5.84	6.17	39.02	48.78	61.96	2.59	2.68	2.85	3.22	3.99	4.80
OD-135	3.01	5.04	6.49	30.34	43.57	55.79	1.74	1.88	2.25	2.67	3.44	4.24
OD-144	3.36	5.10	5.13	49.62	63.04	78.80	1.97	2.02	2.25	2.38	3.80	4.43
OD-150	3.19	5.24	6.42	48.82	65.91	78.17	2.09	2.38	2.53	2.35	3.66	4.62

Genotypes	Girth of tiller (mm)			Leaf length (cm)			Leaf width (cm)			Petiole length (cm)		
	60 DAP	90 DAP	120 DAP	60 DAP	90 DAP	120 DAP	60 DAP	90 DAP	120 DAP	60 DAP	90 DAP	120 DAP
OD-152	3.03	5.36	6.51	32.37	41.23	53.78	2.32	2.43	2.53	2.52	3.27	3.70
OD-157	3.12	5.73	6.15	59.96	72.44	83.96	2.05	2.06	2.40	2.34	3.30	4.18
OD-158	3.35	4.96	5.22	44.45	57.02	68.70	1.98	2.25	2.53	2.82	3.79	4.24
OD-166	3.27	5.43	6.39	49.53	62.02	72.35	1.88	2.25	2.41	3.32	4.10	4.81
OD-173	3.09	5.15	6.47	36.33	48.58	60.82	1.58	2.26	2.47	2.92	3.28	3.76
S. Em±	0.11	0.08	0.12	0.56	0.58	0.62	0.03	0.16	0.15	0.03	0.04	0.09
CD (5 %)	NS	0.22	0.35	1.61	1.66	1.78	0.09	0.47	0.42	0.08	0.11	0.27

Table 3. Biomass and essential oil yield of different lemongrass genotypes

Genotype	Fresh biomass yield (kg/clump)	Shade dried weight (kg/clump)	Fresh biomass yield (kg/plot)	Fresh biomass yield (t/ha)	Essential oil yield (kg/ha)
Kaveri	0.49	0.33	12.25	13.61	83.69
Pragati	0.48	0.36	12.00	13.33	107.35
Praman	0.51	0.44	12.63	14.03	99.61
CIM Chirharit	0.69	0.60	17.50	19.44	155.94
Local HUB-LG-1	0.48	0.35	11.88	13.19	98.32
CKP25	0.77	0.62	19.13	21.25	256.06
Krishna	0.71	0.61	17.63	19.58	215.42
Elite	0.44	0.33	11.00	12.22	81.32
OD-2	0.45	0.32	11.13	12.36	112.50
OD-13	0.55	0.45	13.75	15.28	123.03
OD-14	0.53	0.43	13.13	14.58	126.15
OD-19	0.66	0.49	16.50	18.33	186.07
OD-23	0.48	0.39	11.88	13.19	105.56
OD-24	0.56	0.45	13.88	15.42	110.24
OD-63	0.48	0.38	11.88	13.19	125.14
OD-80	0.47	0.36	11.63	12.92	105.28
OD-82	0.49	0.38	12.25	13.61	118.58
OD-83	0.65	0.56	16.25	18.06	152.78
OD-88	0.47	0.39	11.63	12.92	92.99
OD-93	0.58	0.47	14.38	15.97	130.15
OD-101	0.58	0.49	14.50	16.11	110.43
OD-106	0.43	0.36	10.63	11.81	98.61
OD-107	0.47	0.35	11.63	12.92	95.00
OD-110	0.54	0.44	13.38	14.86	131.51
OD-111	0.48	0.35	12.00	13.33	100.67
OD-120	0.49	0.38	12.13	13.47	104.10
OD-121	0.68	0.60	17.00	18.89	160.14
OD-123	0.52	0.38	12.88	14.31	117.32
OD-126	0.47	0.37	11.63	12.92	104.06
OD-130	0.70	0.59	17.13	19.31	166.18
OD-131	0.66	0.56	16.38	18.19	132.68
OD-132	0.60	0.50	14.88	16.53	136.81
OD-135	0.49	0.39	12.13	13.47	121.97
OD-144	0.70	0.61	17.38	19.03	141.90
OD-150	0.53	0.44	13.25	14.72	125.94

Genotype	Fresh biomass yield (kg/clump)	Shade dried weight (kg/clump)	Fresh biomass yield (kg/plot)	Fresh biomass yield (t/ha)	Essential oil yield (kg/ha)
OD-152	0.57	0.48	14.13	15.69	121.65
OD-157	0.59	0.48	14.81	16.46	138.10
OD-158	0.57	0.47	14.25	15.83	119.44
OD-166	0.52	0.42	13.00	14.44	107.50
OD-173	0.46	0.36	11.38	12.64	87.86
S. Em±	0.02	0.03	0.62	0.69	6.40
CD (5 %)	0.07	0.08	1.78	1.98	18.30




Fig. 1. Essential oil content (%) of different lemongrass genotypes

OD-83 exhibited marked difference in girth of the tiller with the maximum girth (6.19 mm and 7.06 mm at 90 and 120 DAP respectively). Wherein, the highest leaf length (86.38 cm and 92.78 cm) and leaf width (2.82 cm and 2.94 cm) were noticed in CKP25 at 90 and 120 days after planting. The similar works were reported by Ruswandi et al. (2023) where the maximum leaf length of 116.60 cm was recorded in Puncak Sirna (PS) 02 accession of citronella and Susilowati and Syukur (2022) in lemongrass. The increased petiole length was noticed in Elite (3.89 cm, 5.39 cm at 60 and 120 DAP), the increased petiole length may be due to the enhanced water and nutrient absorption of specific genotype leading to the expansion of petiole tissue. The results are in line with the findings of Mallikarjun et al. (2021) in citronella genotypes and Vinutha and Hegde et al. (2014) noticed the highest petiole length (8.06 cm) in variety Mandakini.

3.2 Yield Parameters

CKP25 recorded the highest fresh biomass yield (0.77 kg/ clump), per plot (19.13 kg), per hectare (21.25 t/ha) and shade dried weight (0.62 kg/ clump). The lowest biomass yield was noticed in OD-106 (0.43 kg/ clump), per plot (10.63 kg), per hectare (11.81 t) mentioned in Table 3. The increase in biomass yield may be due to the production of a greater number of tillers per plant, plant spread, leaf length and other factors

which are positively associated with the yield. Similar findings were observed by Mwithiga et al. (2022), Lal et al. (2018) and Yogendra et al. (2022) in lemongrass, Raja (2019) in vetiver and Upadhyay et al. (2017) in citronella.

3.3 Quality Parameters

The information associated with the essential oil content revealed that, the maximum essential oil content was observed in CKP25 (1.21%) depicted in Fig. 1. with the yield of 256.06 kg/ha. This could be due to genotypic interactions with the environment, which influence the oil content. Similar works were also reported by Lal et al. (2020) in lemongrass (0.58% oil content), Kumar et al., (2022) in lemongrass, Singh et al. (2017), Devi and Singh (2023) and Lal et al. (2023) in palmarosa.

4. CONCLUSION

From the present study, the different genotypes showed significant differences with respect to morphological, yield and quality parameters. Specifically, Elite, OD-19 recorded the highest plant height and petiole length. Whereas, CKP25, exhibited maximum plant spread (E-W) and (N-S) direction and number of tillers, leaf length and leaf width. The girth of the tiller was found highest in OD-83. In yield parameters, fresh and dried biomass yield per clump, per plot and per hectare were recorded maximum in

CKP25. In consideration with quality parameters, CKP25 and Krishna recorded the maximum oil recovery and oil yield.

From the present study, it is concluded that, the different genotypes exhibited significant differences with respect to morphological, yield and quality parameters. Specifically, CKP25, Krishna, OD-19 had exhibited maximum number of tillers, biomass yield, oil recovery and oil yield under environmental conditions of Bagalkot conditions

DISCLAIMER (ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE)

Author(s) hereby declare that NO generative AI technologies such as Large Language Models (ChatGPT, COPILOT, etc) and text-to-image generators have been used during writing or editing of this manuscript.

COMPETING INTERESTS

Authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

REFERENCES

- Bhatnagar, A. (2020). Chemical composition and antioxidant activity of essential oil of *Cymbopogon flexuosus*. *Journal of Natural and Applied Sciences*, 12(1), 25-29.
- Devi, M. A., & Singh, T. B. (2023). Composition of essential oil of indigenous and exotic species of lemongrass growing in Manipur. *Annals of Multidisciplinary Research, Innovation and Technology*, 2(2), 58-64.
- Haque, A. N. M. A., Remadevi, R., & Naebe, M. (2018). Lemongrass (*Cymbopogon*): A review on its structure, properties, applications and recent developments. *Cellulose*, 25, 5455-5477.
- Hiremath, J. S., Gangadharappa, P. M., Hegde, L., Srikantaprasad, D., & Dodmani, S. (2018). Performance of citronella (*Cymbopogon* spp.) genotypes for growth, herbage yield and quality under northern dry zone of Karnataka. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*, 7(3S), 390-393.
- Ibrahim, M. M., & Khalid, K. A. (2013). Phenotypic recurrent selection on herb growth yield of citronella grass (*Cymbopogon nardus*) grown in Egypt. *Nusantara Biosciences*, 5(2).
- Kumar, A., Lal, R. K., Gupta, A. K., & Chanotiya, C. S. (2023). Historical and contemporary development of novel chemotype varieties with high essential oil of lemongrass in India: A review. *Journal of Medicinal and Aromatic Plant Sciences*, 45(2), 17-27.
- Kumar, A., Lal, R. K., Jnanasha, A. C., & Chanotiya, C. S. (2022). Stable genotypes selection in industrially important rose-scented *Cymbopogon martinii* (Roxb.) Wats. var. motia Burk. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 187, 15497.
- Lal, M., Dutta, S., Munda, S., & Pandey, S. K. (2018). Novel high value elemicin-rich germplasm of lemon grass (*Cymbopogon khasianus* (Hack) Stapf (ex Bor)) from North East India. *Industrial Crops and Products*, 115, 98-103.
- Lal, M., Munda, S., Dutta, S., & Pandey, S. K. (2020). Identification of a novel germplasm (Jor Lab L-9) of lemon grass (*Cymbopogon khasianus*) rich in methyl eugenol. *Crop Breeding and Applied Biotechnology*, 20, 320720315.
- Lal, R. K., Gupta, P., Chanotiya, C. S., Mishra, A., & Kumar, A. (2023). Eminent high essential oil yielding and photosynthesis efficient genotype selection across multi-environments in the palmarosa *Cymbopogon martinii* (Roxb.) Wats. *Ecological Genetics and Genomics*, 27, 100167.
- Mallikarjun, P., Noren, S., Singh, N., Lal, M., Singh, A., Singh, N., & Sharma, G. (2021). Evaluation of genotypexenvironment interaction for essential oil yield and its related traits for identification of suitable cultivars in citronella (*Cymbopogon winterianus* Jowitt.) in Meghalaya, India. *The Bioscan*, 16(3), 175-182.
- Mwithiga, G., Maina, S., Muturi, P., & Gitari, J. (2022). Lemongrass (*Cymbopogon flexuosus*) agronomic traits, oil yield and oil quality under different agro-ecological zones. *Journal of Agricultural Research*, 10, 100422.
- Raja, B. (2019). Evaluation of promising genotypes of vetiver *Chrysopogon zizanioides* L. for yield and essential oil content (Master's thesis, Department of Spices Plant Crops, TNAU, Coimbatore).
- Ruswandi, A., Winara, A., Asfiya, W., Syukur, C., Ishaq, I., Susanto, B., & Indrajaya, Y. (2023). Agronomic characteristics and potential of local variety of citronella oil in Cianjur Regency, West Java. *Proceedings of the AIP Conference*, 2583, 1-10.
- Sarma, A., & Sarma, T. C. (2005). Studies on the morphological characters and yields of oil and citral of certain lemongrass

- [*Cymbopogon flexuosus* (Steud) Wats] accessions grown under agro-climatic conditions of Northeast India. *Journal of Essential Oil-Bearing Plants*, 8(3), 250-257.
- Sharma, S., Habib, S., Sahu, D., & Gupta, J. (2021). Chemical properties and therapeutic potential of citral, a monoterpene isolated from lemongrass. *Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, 17(1), 2-12.
- Singh, V. R., Upadhyay, R. K., Verma, R. S., Padalia, R. C., & Lal, R. K. (2017). Performance evaluation for essential oil yield, quality and trend analysis of different palmarosa (*Cymbopogon martinii*) varieties for Tarai region of Uttarakhand. *Journal of Tropical Agriculture*, 35(1), 171-175.
- Skaria, B. P., Mathew, S., Mathew, G., & Joseph, A. (2018). *Handbook of herbs and spices* (2nd ed.). Woodhead Publishing.
- Susilowati, M., & Syukur, C. (2022). Morphological variations of 20 lemongrass accessions. In *IOP Conference Series: Environmental Earth Sciences*, 974(1), 12-50.
- Upadhyay, R. K., Singh, V. R., Verma, R. S., Padalia, R. C., Kumar, R., Chauhan, A., & Tewari, S. K. (2019). Development of postharvest management practices for quality essential oil production of *Cymbopogon flexuosus* (Nees ex Steud.) W. Watson. *Journal of Essential Oil Research*, 31(6), 556-561.
- Vinutha, S. N., & Hegde, N. K. (2014). Evaluation of citronella (*Cymbopogon winterianus* Jowitt) genotypes for growth parameters under northern dry zone of Karnataka. *Mysore Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 48(4), 553-559.
- Yogendra, N. D., Nazeer, M., Yadav, M. K., Baskaran, K., Pragadheesh, V. S., Jnanasha, A. C., & Srinivas, K. S. (2022). Comparative morphological assessment of lemongrass (*Cymbopogon* spp.) cultivars for oil yield, chemical composition and quality parameters under southern region of India. *Madras Agricultural Journal*, 108, 10-12.

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of the publisher and/or the editor(s). This publisher and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.

© Copyright (2024): Author(s). The licensee is the journal publisher. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Peer-review history:

The peer review history for this paper can be accessed here:

<https://www.sdiarticle5.com/review-history/125774>